February 14, 2017

FEB 2 2 2017
TOWN MANAGER'S OFFICE

Sheldon Houghton Vice President Vermont Rail System One Railway Lane Burlington, VT 05401

Dear Mr. Houghton:

This letter is in response to your February 6 letter and the illegal closure of the rail crossing at the north end of Kendall Station Rd. on February 10 (pursuant to 5 V.S.A. § 3639 crossing are to be closed by mutual consent).

The closure of the north access to Kendall Station by the placement of concrete barriers creates an unnecessary hazard during a flood. Both the north crossing and the southern part of Kendall Station Rd are in the 100 year flood zone (FEMA map # 50027C0263E). However, the southern end of Kendall Station is about 3 feet lower than the northern crossing. During a flood, when the southern end of Kendall Station Rd. is under 3 feet of water and becomes impassable, the northern crossing would have still been passible and provided an escape route. Additionally, the south end of Kendall Station Rd. is also in the river corridor of the Ompompanoosuc River and is at risk when that river floods. (http://floodready.vermont.gov/assessment/vt_floodready_atlas). The north access to Kendall Station Rd. is essential to safety during flood events.

The closure also block access to the school bus stop for students living at the north end of Kendall Station. The bus stop is at that crossing.

There are alternatives to the concrete barriers to make the north crossing safer. They include signage, removal of the routing information from GPS data bases, plowing the crossing, etc. The concrete barriers should be removed immediately.

Additional I would like to make the following requests:

- 1) This summer a culvert was replaced at the north crossing (I believe this was done by VRS). The old culvert was discarded along the side of Route 5. Would you please dispose of the old culvert appropriately?
- 2) Vermont Rail System has stored several hundreds of new railroad ties just north of the north crossing. Storing a large concentration of ties here causes toxic material to leach into the soil at higher than normal concentrations and undoubtedly contaminates neighboring properties. Additionally, if the area is subject to a flood those ties will be carried downstream and become a safety and environmental hazard. The Rail Road may be exempt from using these toxic ties

but the exemption does not extend to their storage. Would you please have those ties removed?

3) Vermont Rail System has stored or discarded old rail road ties along this section of Kendall Station (and all along the Connecticut River). For the reasons stated above they create an environmental and safety hazard. Would you please have those ties removed and disposed of properly?

If you have any questions please contact me.

Sincerely,

Tim Chow

164 Kendall Station Rd.

Norwich, VT 05055

802 649-2101

CC: Steve Leinoff, Fire Chief

Doug Robinson, Police Chief

Dave Ormiston, Town Manager

Mark Fitzgerald, VT Agency of Transportation

Tim Briglin, VT State Representative

Jim Masland, VT State Representative

John Campbell, VT State Senator

Andrew French, manager of USFWS Silvio O. Conte National Fish and Wildlife Refuge

MAR **1 5** 2017

TOWN MANAGER'S OFFICE

March 14, 2017

Selden Houghton Vice President Vermont Rail System One Railway Lane Burlington, VT 05401

Dear Mr. Houghton:

The Vermont Railroad has recently increase the speed of their trains though the Kendall Station Rd. neighborhood. The speed has increased from about 10 mph to an estimated 25 mph or more. Because of this speed increase I measured the sight distance (d_T) at the southern Kendall Station crossing (DOT #053547P) which is currently the only crossing available (VRS unilaterally closed the northern crossing, DOT #053548W last month) to Kendall Station and River Edge Lane.

I used the method specified in *Railroad-Highway Grade Crossing Handbook - Revised Second Edition 2007 (*Federal Highway Administration:

https://www.fra.dot.gov/Elib/Document/1464) – page 68, figure 9. When measured for vehicles traveling east on Kendall Station Rd the sight distance (d_T) was 225' to the north and 275' to the south. According to table 32 (page 69, case B) a sight distance of 240' or more is required for a train moving 10 mph for an unprotected crossing. According to the same table to operate a train safely at 25 mph would require a sight distance of at least 600' (interpolated).

Operating trains though this crossing at more than 10 mph does not meet Federal Guidelines for this crossing and is clearly dangerous. Please IMMEDIATELY reduce the speed of the trains to less than 10 mph (or take other precautions as specified in the *Handbook*).

If you have any questions please contact me.

Sincerely

Tim Chow

164 Kendall Station Rd. Norwich, VT 05055

tim chow@hotmail.com

CC:

Dave Ormiston, Town Manager

Mark Fitzgerald, VT Agency of Transportation

Tim Briglin, VT State Representative

Jim Masland, VT State Representative

John Campbell, VT State Senator

Norwich Select board

John Zicconi, VT Transportation Board

John Roback

9c