

7c.



January 30, 2017

To: Norwich Select Board

From: John M Farrell

Subject: Norwich Pool

Although I could not attend the presentation of The Norwich Pool Options Committee I would like to make some comments regarding the attempt to create another pool.

First, I would like to point out that a new Norwich pool is a WANT not a NEED. At a time when we have just approved a bond issue for over \$1,000,000 with another \$70,000 added to it after the fact a pool costing \$500,000 is just not acceptable IF the money for such a project comes from the taxpayers or from any monies from town coffers.

The Norwich Pool Options Committee has stated in its Final Report dated December 20, 2016 page #2, item #4 and I paraphrase here; "that the Committee seek **alternative sources of public funding.**" I believe this is the correct way to fund such a project.

Second, Future maintenance costs, porta potti rentals or purchase, should be borne by the users or by the committee.

Third, I would question how this would affect Norwich's liability insurance since the pool will be on town owned property.

Finally, it is my belief that the pool will be used by only a very small percentage of the population and for a very short 2-3 months of the entire year.

Please consider this correspondence a part of the public record.

Respectfully,

John M Farrell – Turnpike Road

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "John M Farrell", written over the typed name.